The Babson Community has yet to speak with the force it is capable of speaking with. The silent majority can still be used as an excuse to delay reaction to the cry of the college campuses across the United States, and in our case, the cry of the Babson Community. Babson has yet to speak as a united body, with the voice of everyone being heard. What I personally feel is not more than pertaining this power is the absence of the opportunity to be counted. I think this movement across the United States should know exactly where its support lies. This can be done in various ways but I think the most the Babson Community can do in this respect is to take a "solid" polling and speak for the majority, while knowing the size of the minority. The right to speak for the community is based on this vote. Having this sampling, the views of this campus can be truly, and forcefully spoken. Without if, the voice that speaks will be defacing our purpose in the preservation of the right to protest. Wake up Babson, make the Administration speak for you, after you have spoken for yourself.

I feel the best way for this vote to be taken is for the Strike Committee to present proposals to the Student Body, and if the Students agree with those proposals, they should be given the right to rally on this campus for the remainder of the year, and vote their support. Those that do not support the proposals should have the right to turn in their Babson Identification Cards, and leave campus until one of two things is done, whichever comes first: A- The Strike is ended. B- The already stated time for final exams comes about.

A Proposal

"LET IT BE PROPOSED THAT THE FACULTY AND STUDENTS OF BABSON BE GIVEN THE OPTION OF TURNING IN THEIR IDENTIFICATION CARDS TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE COLLEGE, EITHER BY MAIL, OR IN PERSON, OR KEEPING THESE IDENTIFICATION CARDS AND HAVING THE RETENTION BE THEIR SUPPORTING VOTE OF THE STRIKE POLICIES."

"A FURTHER PROPOSAL IS THAT THOSE WHO CHOOSE TO TURN THEIR CARDS INTO THE PRESIDENT OF THE COLLEGE, DO SO WITH THE SPECIFICATION OF LEAVING THE CAMPUS AND RETURNING FOR FINAL EXAMS, THOSE WHO REMAIN, SUPPORT THE STRIKE AND WISH TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACTIONS OF THE STRIKE COMMITTEE ON THIS CAMPUS."

This we believe is fair to all involved. The wording is not done to influence one conclusion or another. It is proposed in hopes that the College is spoken for with the amount of commitment it is entitled to.
Dear Mr. Kriebel

Please be assured that I represent Mr. Edward Vachon who was the young man who was criminally charged with grand larceny, larceny, automobile theft, which arose out of a happening on your campus or about April 25, 1972.

He advised me that a group of approximately 60 students had surrounded and deposed Mr. Vachon to prevent him from being able to destroy it, ripping out and stealing personal property in the car and slashing the tires. He came upon this group when he innocently and unexpectedly attempted to get into his car to leave the campus. At that time one of the irresponsible members of your student body yelled out "he's the guy." At that time all of the anger and wrath that was built up in this group of 50 students turned on Mr. Vachon and it took the campus police as well as the Walley Police to save Mr. Vachon's life.

As a result of the carelessness, groundlessness and flagrant accusations of the irresponsible members of the Babson student body, Mr. Vachon was criminally charged with grand larceny, in the Dedham District Court. As a result of this he had to retain my services to prove his innocence.

Mr. Vachon appeared in the Dedham District Court on April 28 and the judge after hearing this case saying that "this was a clear case of mistaken identity". The Court acknowledged that Mr. Vachon was at the right time near or involved with the alleged theft.

As a direct result of near riotous activity of the student body of Babson College, Mr. Vachon suffered damage to his car and in the amount of $488 for repairs to his car, $100 for the loss and theft of a car stereo, $137 for the loss of 20-25 tapes that were in the car, $50 for the loss of a men's leather jacket and $65 for the loss of 2 rear tires that were slashed by the students.

In addition to the loss to his car, Mr. Vachon had to pay $750 for legal and investigation fees in order to prove his total lack of involvement in this break-in.

I think it is only fitting and proper that you as a representative of the student body make every effort to right the wrongs which the student body has committed. Although there is no way that you can erase the fact that Mr. Vachon was incarcerated by the Wellesley Police, you can in a small way return him for his out-of-pocket expenses.

We are mindful of the problem that you as students have with the community in which you live. We appreciate that some of the citizens in the community harass you as students. This however is not a license for you to harass private citizen who in no way contributed to your harassment.

I think that a letter of apology directed to Mr. Vachon together with reimbursement of his out of pocket expenses is in order.

Very truly yours,
Edward Shepard
Attorney for Edward Vachon

---

Making Protest Court

President Nixon's decision to expand the Vietnam war into Cambodia and the killing of four university students by National Guard bullets have produced a swelling tide of protest that has united many disparate elements on the university's campus across the country.

The fury that grips college youth is fed by a conviction that the Administration itself has contributed to the repressive mood that made the Ohio National Guardsmen overquick on the trigger. That conviction has helped reduce undergraduate confidence in the responsiveness of the political process.

Yet it is the ultimate delusion to express shock or frustration through self-defeating violence that simply invites fresh excesses in the name of law and order.

And, even without the element of violence, students and faculty build scant general respect for the seriousness of their social concern or their response to political setbacks is to shut down the universities and keep them shut.

There may be point to such planned recesses as the one Princeton University is undertaking next fall when all classes will be suspended for two weeks so that students and teachers may translate their personal idealism into systematic political action in preparation for the Congressional elections.

But a national move toward cancelling the remainder of the academic year, as a demonstration of opposition to the Vietnam war and related policies, is self-defeating and destructive. The universities are precisely the places not to shut down, but unfortunately there may be a self-appointed few people in various strata of American life who would like to see them shut down.

The best way that the academic community can demonstrate that there are civilized roads to a more responsible society is to stay open and concentrate on the effective harnessing of ideas to action. The irrationality of escapism is no answer. It merely leaves the arena to the Philosophers.

NOTES FROM NEAL

---Against the strike like all members of this college community, feel the need for action on those issues presented at the student and faculty meetings. However, I question whether a strike will be the most effective means of influencing public opinion and the direction of U.S. foreign policy in Indochina. The single crucial question is how can we satisfy ourselves that we have arrived at a position different from the President's policies, but how can we express our opposition most meaningfully and forcefully.

The second fundamental of the strike greatly outweigh its possible benefits. The American public and our elected officials will view the strike based on our four principles as an act of irresponsible citizens. Instead, we will be playing into the hands of Nixon, Agnew and the Pentagon. We will encourage them in their efforts to convince Americans that American students should be ignored, the proposed strike, if put simply, is self-defeating and counterproductive.
Friends of Kent State Victims Say They Were Not Radicals

Copyright (c) 1970 by The New York Times Co.

There was a note that said: "Follow your dream. You know what I mean-Jefferson Airplane." And his Long Island Railroad commuter ticket was in the wallet on his desk. On top of a note book, the youth had printed, "Rocky for President in '72."

The biggest thing in the life of Allison Krause of Pittsburgh apparently was her boyfriend, Barry. Barry, a native of Valley Stream, L.I., he dropped to the ground when the guardsmen opened fire. As he hit the ground, someone behind him shouted, "Allison, Krause," whose friends said she was in the class, did not get down. She was killed.

"She had just stopped to look around and see what was happening," an acquaintance said.

The most frequent adjective friends used in describing the 19-year-old physicist was "beautiful." She had dark curly hair, and her face was described by a student as "Indian-like, so proud."

A friend, Karen Zabrucky, said that Miss Krause's parents had wanted her to marry a Jewish boy. (Barry is Jewish.) When it was suggested to Miss Krause that religion was not important, she replied that it was important to her parents.

By all accounts Miss Krause had no overweening interest in politics or revolution. She frequently carried a pet kitten around Kent State campus. And last Sunday she placed a flower in a guardian's rifle holster and said, "Flowers are better than bullets."
Guardsmen Defend Shooting

BY JERRY M. FLINT

KENT, Ohio, May 6—"If they wouldn't have shot, they wouldn't have been killed—killed with their own weapons," said the sergeant of the Ohio National Guard.

Two days earlier, a line of guardsmen had turned on Kent State students at the sight of rusty metal scudspreaders called "rockets," the sergeant said.

"If there were the rocks, maybe if we had a thousand out to see as weapons, men with ax handles and hooted some heads, but we didn't," he said.

The guardsmen started at the top of the hill, yelling and throwing smoke, and the students opened fire, killing four and wounding eight. "Today the quarter-inch steel plate that I'm wearing, some believe came from a among the National Guardsmen National Guardsmen. Like any sniper shot, it's the same thing. What would you do, I don't know."

Brig. Gen. Robert Canterbury, who was with the soldiers that fired on the students, said that, considering the size of the rocks being thrown and the nearness of the students, the guardsmen's lives were in danger and that they could have been overrun.

Most of the guardsmen seemed to be convinced that there was no other way for their companions to save themselves.

"My friends and I can't think it was justified," one private said. "But most of the fellows say if they are attacked like that, it's O.K."

Their opinions were entirely supported by residents of Kent.

"Anyone came in and tried to protect us, they called 'pigs,'" said a waitress at the Kent Motor Inn near the campus.

"I feel terrible about those children getting killed, but they must have provoked the Guard," said an elderly house mother to a Kent State fraternity.

Last week, at Ohio State University in Columbus, for example, guardsmen put tape over their name tags to prevent "re-identification," the commanding general said.

The guard and the police opened tear gas attacks, generally without provocation, saying that the strategy was to keep the students off balance before they could form mobs and attack.

At both schools, guardsmen have carried loaded guns, contending that everyone knew the guns were loaded, which made violence less likely, and that the loaded guns were needed for self-protection. In fact, it was not widely known that the guardsmen were carrying loaded guns.

"I'm sorry about those kids getting killed," said a guardsman on the Kent State campus. But "agitators" had stirred things up, he said. "That business of us being untrained troopers," he continued, "we get the same training as the guys going over to Vietnam do."

He conceded that "we do get hungry."
In discussions of social conditions and possible remedies, the problem of the threat to individual freedom arises. The Left feels this menace came from the Military Industrial Complex and its inherent Fascism. The Right blames Communism. While both views have some justification, from a certain element calling themselves enlightened of liberals to cover up their basic totalitarian schemes. They work for their goals through the Liberal Establishment. This establishment covers many segments of our life, but is basically centered on the profits of social and welfare spending, the news media, and the university.

Let us now look at some of the leaders and heroes of this establishment. Margaret Mead is one of the best examples of a totalitarian liberal. She has come into the spotlight recently for advocating the legalization of marijuana. No quarrel with this, in her plan, however, someone would have to procure the drugs through the federal government. There are obvious dangers to this, as Timothy Leary has pointed out. By giving the state the power to dispense drugs and having a chief executive with the morals and thirst for power of say Ted Kennedy, you would have instant 1954. Gore Vidal is another prime example. Vidal was the spokesman for the liberal community in debates during the 1968 conventions. Last June Vidal, I imagine somewhat dismayed over the results of the full elections, said something to the effect that he was against democracy, because the average American would not vote for a liberal whose ideas coincide with Mr. Vidal’s. When referring to people with master plans for everyone, one should not leave out John Galbraith and Arthur Schlessinger Jr. Galbraith has been busy trying to get the British Labour Socialists to recognize him as the modern Lord Keynes. In his writings, he has constantly argued for more power to the state, regardless of the whims of the people. He has called for the nationalization of every industry at one time or another, also the military, indirectly the youth and probably will ask that the state take over sex in the future. All the evidence of Schlessinger’s totalitarian nature are too numerous to document. One interesting remark that he had made while working under John F. Kennedy showed his true face. Schlessinger was an American welfare state, Germany, under Riemann was the first to take up welfare statism with the result of putting Hitler into power. Schlessinger’s plan for a welfare state has only been partially met here, but the people of Boston have Mrs. Hicks.

One might ask is this all enlightened people and their followers press their ideas on the masses, they don’t partake with the same zeal when their reforms affect them. Take for example the Boston Faculty liberal. He wants integration and busing immediately for the South and the city of Boston, but “just don’t buss them to Wollaston.” They can’t buss someone else’s kids, think of eating in the same room with students.

Today many of the institutions that these leaders lead have come under attack, particularly the college and university. One wonders whether to try to reform these institutions and get new leaders or do away with them and start over. See you at the barricades.

Jerry Rubin
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The pages of the Boston Rag have carried numerous articles concerning Vietnam, so one more won’t hurt too much.

There is a lot of talk today about immediate withdrawal of all U.S. Troops from South Viet-

am. A look at the consequences of such an action may be worthwhile.

First of all, after the 1945 Geneva accord divided Vietnam, over 1,000,000 North Vietnamese voted with their feet and fled to the south. This drastic move for an Asian is important, and one could not decide easily. Of those that remained behind, at least 50,000 were murdered for their political beliefs or and capitalistic endeavors. This is similar to the number executed in North Korea after Kim II Sung and Lo took over there. How-

ever these numbers are pale in comparison to the 10,000,000 or so Chinese who were murdered after Chiang’s Nationalist forces fled to Form-

osan; and I wont ever comment on the millions released of Russians who have had their lives liberated in the USSR. Communism for all its’ idealistic tenets, is not a very pretty thing in practice, and millions of South Vietnamese are aware of this. As a matter of fact, most of the Viet Minh were nationalistic and set com-

munist goals. Back in the 50’s Ho Chi Minh had one of his co-leaders killed because he refused to accept communism. When the Viet Cong lib-

erated Hai during the 6HET offensive, thousands and thousands of loyal citizens were butchered and buried in mass graves. This was in keeping with the long announced intention to kill every So-

ciest leader in the country. This is a job done.

When you figure up the millions of Viet-

namese who have been in support of the Saigon
government, you can easily imagine the bloodbath that will follow a U.S. withdrawal unless adequate military security has been achieved.

The problem is, there is no where for non-

communist South Vietnamese now to go. If S. Vietnam is to fall the borders would be stag-

gering; because Vietnamese are not welcome north of the border and the South is worse off anywhere in the world. If we forbid that tiny piece of Asia we forbid the freedoms of all the Vietnamese and the lives of God only knows how many people.

Communism is a terrible system; however, as George Orwell said, “The trouble with Liberals is that they want to be anti-fascist and not be anti-totalitarian. A sad but ever so true in-

dictment of the liberal-radical mentality.”

The Mom and Dad Pad

When the folks come to visit set them up in style at the Boston Marriott. They’ll overlook the Charles River... and we’ll overlook nothing to make their stay always something... and Newton’s practically on campus. Make your reservations now.

The Last Days of the A Marriott Motor Hotel

Commonwealth Ave. at St. 128 and Massachusetts Turnpike

Reservations: (617) 466-3502

The Last Days of the A Marriott Motor Hotel

Commonwealth Ave. at St. 128 and Massachusetts Turnpike

Reservations: (617) 466-3502
Political program of the Black Panther party

Following is the 10-point program of the Black Panther party, adopted in October 1966.

1. We want freedom. We want power to determine the destiny of our black community. We believe that black people will not be free until we are able to determine our destiny.

2. We want full employment for our people. This can be obtained only through a planned black economic development program that will be responsible and obligated to give every man employment or a guaranteed income. We believe that if the white American businessmen will not give full employment, then the means of production should be taken from the business men and placed in the community so that the people of the community can own, operate, and employ all of its wealth and give a high standard of living.

3. We want an end to the robbery by the capitalist of our black community. We believe that the wealth of this nation has been robbed us and now we are demanding the overdue debt of 40 acres and two miles. Forty acres and two miles was promised 168 years ago as retribution for slave labor and mass murder of black people. We will accept the payment in currency which will be distributed to our many communities. The Germans are now siding the Jews in Israel for the genocide of the Jewish people. The Germans murdered six million Jews. The American racist has taken part in the slaughter of over 10 million black people; therefore, we feel that this is a modest demand that we demand.

4. We want decent housing, fit for shelter of human beings. We believe that the white landlords will not give decent housing to our black community, then the housing and the land should be made into cooperatives in the community, with government aid, and can build and make decent housing for its citizens.

5. We want education for our people that exposes the true nature of this decadent American society. We want education for our people that will give to our people a knowledge of self. If a man does not have knowledge of himself and his position in society and the world, then he has little chance to relate to anything else.

6. We want all black people out of military service. We believe that black people should not be forced to fight in the military service to defend a racist government that does not protect us. We will not fight and kill other people of color in the world who, like black people, are being victimized by the white racist government of America. We will protect ourselves from the force and violence of the racist police and the racist military, by whatever means necessary.

7. We want an immediate end to police brutality and murder of black people. We believe we can end police brutality in our black community by organizing local defense groups that are dedicated to defending our black communities from police brutality and violence. The Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States gives a right to armed protection. We believe black people should arm themselves for self-defense.

8. We want all internal colonial policies to be held in federal, state, county and city prisons and jails. We believe that all black people should be released from all state prisons because they have not received a fair and impartial trial.

9. We want all black people when brought to trial to be tried in court by a jury of their peers. We want a Black Red Cross that serves in the community, as defined by the Constitution of the United States.

10. We want land, bread, housing, education, clothing, justice and peace. And as our political slogans, we will say, "We want land, we want bread, we want housing, we want education, we want clothing, we want justice, and we want peace."
Set your sights on this: The computer industry is only fifteen years old and already there is $15 billion dollars worth of computer equipment in use. By 1975, that will double, producing substantial new computer benefits for business and the community. And creating more than 500,000 new computer-related jobs.

Honeywell can prepare you for this bright future. You'll be able to apply computer technology to your chosen field. Or you can make a promising career as a computer specialist.

We have the only program that's exclusively for college graduates. And because we make computer equipment, we're particularly well-qualified to teach you what computers are all about. This could be your big chance. Pounce on it.

Classes are now forming. Send the coupon today or call (617) 235-7450 ext. 2702.

The Other Computer Company:

Honeywell
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