

6-10-2017

## ARBITRAGE ORIENTATION AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY (SUMMARY)

Sergey Anokhin

*Kent State University, USA, sanokhin@kent.edu*

Tatiana Stettler

*Kent State University, USA*

Ahmad Al Asady

*Kent State University, USA*

Todd Morgan

*University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA*

---

### Recommended Citation

Anokhin, Sergey; Stettler, Tatiana; Al Asady, Ahmad; and Morgan, Todd (2017) "ARBITRAGE ORIENTATION AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY (SUMMARY)," *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*: Vol. 37 : Iss. 9 , Article 2. Available at: <https://digitalknowledge.babson.edu/fer/vol37/iss9/2>

This Summary is brought to you for free and open access by the Entrepreneurship at Babson at Digital Knowledge at Babson. It has been accepted for inclusion in Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research by an authorized editor of Digital Knowledge at Babson. For more information, please contact [digitalknowledge@babson.edu](mailto:digitalknowledge@babson.edu).

## ≈ SUMMARY ≈

**ARBITRAGE ORIENTATION AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY***Sergey Anokhin, Kent State University, USA**Tatiana Stettler, Kent State University, USA**Ahmad Al Asady, Kent State University, USA**Todd Morgan, University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA***Principle Topic**

While strategic orientations suggested in the literature circumscribe firm behavior along many dimensions, none can adequately explain why some firms and not others choose to pursue technological arbitrage opportunities (Anokhin & Wincent, 2014). Such opportunities have to do with market inefficiency and imperfect information whereby most industries sustain firms combining similar resources with varying degrees of efficiency, and a typical firm may earn above-average returns by adopting leaders' superior resource combinations. Building on the work of Hayek (1945), Kirzner (1979; 2009), and Anokhin and colleagues (2010, 2011, 2014), we propose that a novel strategic orientation – *arbitrage orientation* (AO) – explains why firms choose to pursue such opportunities, and suggest that accounting for it assists in understanding competitive advantage.

**Method**

We developed a scale to measure arbitrage orientation, and went through a multi-step process to refine and improve it. We then collected the information on this and other constructs from the firms across industries in the Midwestern part of the U.S. Exploratory factor analysis revealed a two-factor solution, and the subsequent confirmatory factor analysis provided support for the second-order construct of AO. All items loaded onto their respective factors and exhibited high construct reliability ( $\alpha=.81$ ). The construct is conceptually and empirically distinct from mainstream strategic orientations such as entrepreneurial and market orientations, and demonstrates convergent and discriminant validity. We used structural equation modeling to test the effect of AO on firm performance.

**Results and Implications**

With this study, we develop and validate a multi-dimensional AO scale that captures the predisposition of firms to pursue widely available and easy-to-grab arbitrage opportunities. AO is shown to be distinct from two other main strategic orientations typically considered in research on firm strategies – entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation. We confirm three hypotheses and demonstrate arbitrage orientation to be (1) a positive, statistically significant predictor of firm performance, particularly in the case of (2) old and (3) small firms. Importantly, AO remains significant in the presence of entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation. Overall, it adds to our understanding of firms' strategic choices and advances entrepreneurship and strategy research.

**CONTACT:** Sergey Anokhin; sanokhin@kent.edu; (T): + 1 330-672-1150; Marketing and Entrepreneurship Department, Kent State University, P.O. Box 5190, Kent, Ohio 44242 USA.