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SUMMARY

EQUITY CROWDFUNDING: FINDINGS FROM EUROPEAN EXPERIENCE

Miwako Nitani, University of Ottawa, Canada
Beichuan He, University of Ottawa, Canada

Principal Topic

Equity crowdfunding is a potentially important, but contentious, means of facilitating access to
finance for young businesses. Some countries have regulated crowdfunding such that it is legal;
however, regulators in North America continue to debate frameworks that balance investor
protection with facilitating access to capital. Based on the JOBS Act, the Securities Exchange
Commission released proposed rules on equity crowdfunding for public comment, but they have
not yet been implemented. This study seeks to further inform this debate by reporting an analysis
of four Europe-based, internationally-operated, equity crowdfunding portals: Companisto
(Germany), Crowdcube (UK), Invesdor (Finland), and FundedbyMe (Sweden).

Method

The study first compares platforms in terms of two contentious dimensions: information
disclosure requirements for listings and risk advisory-based investor protection systems, linking
these dimensions to platform performance. Second, using data comprising salient aspects of all
296 companies listed on those platforms, the research estimates multivariate models of factors
associated with fundraising success. This two-stage approach allows inferences as to the impact of
key factors on fundraising success, including cross-platform differences.

Results and Implications

Better fundraising performance is most likely for:

1. Firms listed on platforms with more stringent disclosure requirements and that
provide explicit risk warnings (Companisto and Crowdcube);
2. Young companies, especially those that have realized measurable growth;
3. Firms with environmentally friendly products or that are engaged in educational
businesses.

The results suggest that credibility of the platform requires a minimum level of information
disclosure although Guzik (2014) argues that overly stringent regulation may make equity
crowdfunding too expensive. That 80 percent of the companies disclosed at least three-years of
financial statements (one year historical and at least two years forward) might provide a guideline
for a reasonable level of disclosure. Nevertheless, for investment decision-making investors
appear to rely more on qualitative aspects of the business (firm characteristics and entrepreneur
backgrounds) rather than on financial statement data disclosed by the company. This might
imply, consistent with the widely held view, that target participants in equity crowdfunding,
unaccredited investors, are inexperienced in early stage investments. Alternatively and arguably
more likely, it suggests that market participants are skeptical of unaudited financial information
and entrepreneurs’ (typically optimistic) projections.
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